	IMPA	CT ASSE	SSMENT S	HEET	
ID:			Date:		
Time spent for preparing the IA:			Related IA sheets:		
Organizations, experts involved in the IA:			Timeframe:		
Title of the proposal:			Proposer:		
Description of the measure:					
Necessity:					
Date of last modification: (when applicable)			Expected date of next modification: (when applicable)		
History:					
		Criteria of tl	ne enforcement		
Are the personel, organizational, material and financial requirements of the measure available?	no		Specifi	cation	
		I. Comp	etitiveness		
1. How does the measure cont	tribute to the competiti	veness of the country?		makes no change	
2. Does the measure contribute	e to the improvement	of the employment?	no	Estimated improvement	
3. Has the impacts on adminis	trative burden been as	sessed?	no		
			ess sector		
		creases		(value of increment) (value of decrement)	
	ublic administration	CIEBSES	Citizens	and other non-profit target groups	
Г		reases		Increases	
		creases		Decreses	
		II. Socia	I inclusion		
		1. Tar	get groups		
		Name	Est. size of group	Advantageous - Disadvantageous	
1.		-	0		
2.		-	0		
3.		- 2. Summary of	the social impacts		

III. Stable Budget					
Budgetary	/ impacts				
	In the relevant timeframe	In the actual year	In the next four years		
Balance deteriorating effect of the measure	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft		
Financial coverage of the balance deteriorating effects in the budget	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft		
Balance improving effect of the measure	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft		
Amount of balance improving effect that has been taken into account in the budget	0 Ft	0 Ft			
Total effect (net)	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft		
Total effect (budgetary)	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft		

IV. Sustainable growth						
Does the measure have impacts on the environment or the nature?	Does the measure have impacts on the environment or the nature?					
Summary of such effects						

V. Other effects				

IMPACT ASSESSMENT SUB-SHEETS						
Target groups						
	Name	9	Est. size of group	Advantageous	Disadvantageous	
1.	-					
2.	-					
3.	-					
4.	-					
n.	-					
Does the proposal have	any impact on the economical	status of a target group?	no			
If yes, please specify						
Does the proposal ha	ave any impact on the social sta	tus of a target group?	no			
Amennyiben igen, milyen módon?						
Exluding the administrative burden, does the proposal cause additional costs for any of the target groups? (if he answer is yes, please estimate the value)						
	Please specify	the elements of the measu	ire that can cause additional costs!			
	Please describe	the necessery steps to be	taken to offset the additional costs	1		

	Impacts on employment					
	Increases	Est. value of increment		Target year		
	Decreases	Est. value of decrement		Target year		
	No change					
Occupational group targeted by the increasement/decreasement						
The increasement/decreasement will be realized in which sector?			not relevant			
In case of the increasement/decreasement of employment, describe the territorial impacts! (if relevant)						
Has been any other alternatives been assessed in terms of employment impacts? If the answer is yes, please describe the results!						

Impacts on competitiveness					
How can the measure contribute to the competitiveness of the country? makes no change					

	Details of budgetary impacts					
	Balance deteriorating effect of the measure					
		minimum	maximum	nominal value	present value (PV0)	
In the relev	vant timeframe			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	In the actual year	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	immediate	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	subsequent	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	In the next four years	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2014	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2015	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2016	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2017	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	L	ist of the main balar	nce deteriorating el	ements		
	Title	Frequency	Amount	Nominal value	Date	
1.				0 Ft		
2.				0 Ft		
3.				0 Ft		
4.				0 Ft		
n.				0 Ft		
	Notes					
	Financial co	verage of the balan	ce deteriorating eff	ects in the budget		
In the relev	vant timeframe			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	In the actual year			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	Transfer from other expenditure appropriations			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	Allocate to revenue appropriations (ie.: eu- funds)			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	Revenue improving measures			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	Other balance improving measures			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	In the next four years			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2014			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2015			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2016			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2017			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	Notes					

Balance improving effect of the measure						
		minimum	maximum	nominal value	present value (PV0)	
In the	relevant timeframe			0 Ft	0 Ft	
	In the actual year	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	immediate	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	subsequent	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	In the next four years	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2014	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2015	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2016	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
	2017	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	0 Ft	
		List of the main bala	ance improving elem	ents	-	
	Title	Frequency	Amount	Nominal value	Date	
1.				0 Ft		
2.				0 Ft		
3.				0 Ft		
4.				0 Ft		
n.				0 Ft		
	Amount of balance improving effect that has been taken into account in the budget					
Has the bal	Has the balance improving effect been calculated into the budget?					
	Amount in the budget 0 Ft 0 Ft				0 Ft	
	Evaluation of the "do-nothing" scenario					

Estimated budget of the do-nothing screnario	0 Ft			

Other implementation options					
Has any other implementation op	tions been assessed?		no		
If no, why not? (max. 8 sentences	3)				
		Cor	ntent (max. 8 sentences)		
	Details of option #1	Reasons of rejection (max. 8 sentences)			
		Estimated balance	0 Ft		
		Content (max. 8 sentences)			
Other options	Details of option #2	Reasons of rejection (max. 8 sentences)			
•		Estimated balance	0 Ft		
		Content (max. 8 sentences)			
	Details of option #3	Reasons	of rejection (max. 8 sentences)		
		Estimated balance	0 Ft		

Details of administrative burden					
		Business sector			
	Increases		Ft - estimated value by the SCM model		
	Target group				
	Which element(s) of the meas	sure causes the increasement	? (max. 8 sentences)		
	Please explain why the burde	n increasement can't be avoic	ed! (max. 8 sentences)		
	Decreases		Ft - estimated value by the SCM model		
	Target group				
	Which element(s) of the meas	sure causes the decreasemen	?? (max. 8 sentences)		
	Doesn't change				
	Pu	blic administration			
	Increases				
	Target group				
	Which element(s) of the meas	sure causes the increasement	? (max. 8 sentences)		
	Please explain why the burde	n increasement can't be avoic	ed! (max. 8 sentences)		
	Decreases				
	Target group				
	Which element(s) of the meas	sure causes the decreasemen	t? (max. 8 sentences)		
	Doesn't change				
	Citizens and				
		other non-profit target g	roups		
	Increases Target group				
	Which element(s) of the meas	sure causes the increasement	? (max. 8 sentences)		
	Please explain why the burde	n increasement can't be avoic	ed! (max. 8 sentences)		
	Decreases				
	Target group				
	Which element(s) of the meas	sure causes the decreasemen	t? (max. 8 sentences)		
	Doesn't change				
	Impact	s on the administration			
Does the proposal introd	luce additional task, obligations		does not make changes		
·	-		- Contraction of the second se		

Other impacts						
Impacts on health Does the proposal have impacts on health? no						
Does tr		on health? Does the measure have influen	ce on any the following fact	no ors?		
Risk behaviors			_		Traveling, illness related to	
(ie.: smoking, drugs, gambling, etc.)	Nutrition	(Lack of) Physical activity	Stress	Traffic morale	international travel	
Accessibility of health care services	Avaibility of health care services	Affordability of health care services	Quality of health care services	Other:		
		Environmer	ntal impacts			
Does the measure have impacts on the environment or the nature? no						
Does the measure have o	other significant impacts above?	that haven't been described		no		
EX-POST IMPACT ASSESSMENT						
Is it recommended to do the ex-post assessment of the proposal (if yes, when) no If yes, please explain the methodology and name who is obliged to do it.						
If the answer is no, please briefly explain why not (max. 8 sentences)						
Impressum :						
Filled by:		Name	(Contacts (email addr., pho	ne no.)	
		-				
Seen by:		•				
осен ру.		-				
Approved by:		-				

Advantages, disadvantages and threats					
Disadvantages (factors that could cause negative consequences)					
Threats (factors that could cause threats and decrease efficiency)					
Post-implementation threats					